# FILED

AUG 0 2 2006

PAM L. DANIELS SNOHOMISH COUNTY CLERK

PAM L. DANIELS EX - OFFICIO CLERK OF COURT SNOHOMISH CO. WASH COUNTY CLERK

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR SNOHOMISH COUNTY

JAMES WEST and LORETTA WEST, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; JAMES FOSTER and BETTY FOSTER, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; PAUL TROXELL and PAT TROXELL, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; HARVEY HUSON and MARY ELLEN HUSON, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; JOHN MUSTERED and JANET MUSTERED; husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; NEAL JORGENSON and IRENE JORGENSON. husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; DAVID PEARSON and GLENICE PEARSON, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; RANDY ROALSON and DARLA ROALSON, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; CHARLES THACKWELL and LORAINE COHRS THACKWELL, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof; and EVELYN KROEZE, a single individual.

Plaintiffs,

٧.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

EVERETT MT. BAKER CHRISTIAN TRAINING & CAMPING INC. DBA

COMPLAINT



NO. 06 2 09053

COMPLAINT FOR **DECLARATORY RELIEF** 

BERESFORD BOOTH PLLC

145 THIRD AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 200 EDMONDS, WASHINGTON 98020 (425) 776-4100 · (425) 776-1700 fax

CEDAR SPRINGS CAMP, a Washington corporation,

Defendant.

James and Loretta West, James and Better Foster, Paul and Pat Troxell, Harvey and Mary Ellen Huson, John and Janet Mustered, Neal and Irene Jorgenson, David and Glenice Pearson, Darla and Randy Roalson, Charles and Loraine Thackwell, and Evelyn Kroeze (collectively, "Residents"), by and through their undersigned attorneys, allege as follows:

#### **PARTIES AND JURISDICTION**

- 1. <u>Plaintiffs James and Loretta West</u>. James West and Loretta West, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof (together, "West") are residents of Snohomish County, Washington, and are residents of Cedar Springs Camp.
- 2. <u>Plaintiffs David and Glenice Pearson.</u> David Pearson and Glenice Perarson, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof (together, "Pearson") are residents of Snohomish County, Washington, and are residents of Cedar Springs Camp.
- 3. <u>Plaintiffs James and Betty Foster</u>. James Foster and Betty Foster, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof (together, "Foster") are residents of Snohomish County, Washington, and are residents of Cedar Springs Camp.
- 4. <u>Plaintiff Charles and Loraine Thackwell</u>. Charles and Loraine Thackwell, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof (together, "Thackwell") are residents of Snohomish County, Washington, and are residents of Cedar Springs Camp.
- 5. <u>Plaintiffs Neal and Irene Jorgenson</u>. Neal Jorgenson and Irene Jorgenson, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof (together, "Jorgenson") are residents of Snohomish County, Washington, and are residents of Cedar Springs Camp.

- 6. <u>Plaintiffs John and Janet Mustered.</u> John Mustered and Janet Mustered, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof (together, "Mustered") are residents of Snohomish County, Washington, and are residents of Cedar Springs Camp.
- 7. <u>Plaintiffs Harvey and Mary Ellen Huson</u>. Harvey Huson and Mary Ellen Huson, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof (together, "Huson") are residents of Snohomish County, Washington, and are residents of Cedar Springs Camp.
- 8. <u>Plaintiffs Paul and Patty Troxell.</u> Paul Troxell and Patty Troxell, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof (together, "Troxell") are residents of Snohomish County, Washington, and are residents of Cedar Springs Camp.
- 9. <u>Plaintiff Evelyn Kroeze</u>. Evelyn Kroeze, a single individual ("Kroeze") is a resident of Snohomish County, Washington, and is a resident of Cedar Springs Camp.
- 10. <u>Plaintiffs Randy and Darla Roalson</u>. Randy Roalson and Darla Roalson, husband and wife and the marital community composed thereof (together, "Roalson") are residents of Snohomish County, Washington, and are residents of Cedar Springs Camp. Darla Roalson is also duly appointed as Kroeze's attorney-in-fact.
- 11. <u>Defendant Everett Mt. Baker Christian Training & Camping, Inc. dba</u>

  <u>Cedar Springs Camp.</u> Everett Mt. Baker Christian Training & Camping, Inc. dba Cedar

  Springs Camp is the owner of real property located at 4820 SR 92, Lake Stevens,

  Snohomish County, Washington (the "Camp").
- 12. <u>Jurisdiction and Venue</u>. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court because the cause of action arose and the acts described herein occurred in Snohomish County, Washington. Additionally, this matter concerns the possession of real property located at 4820 SR 92, Lake Stevens, Snohomish County, Washington.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

#### BACKGROUND

- 13. In 1965, the Camp purchased and commenced development of approximately 100 acres of property in Snohomish County, Washington, near Lake Stevens.
- 14. Originally, the Camp developed a portion of the property for use as a summer/vacation camp area, and devoted a five (5) acre section of the property for residential lots available for lease.
- 15. The Camp envisioned the residential lot area as a way to: 1) engage people in the summer/vacation camp; 2) to more closely link people directly to the Camp's ministry, and 3) gather volunteer labor for the Camp's building projects.
- 16. However, over time, the Camp realized the benefits of allowing year around residency and began offering affordable lot leases to prospective tenants for a term of one (1) year for such purpose. Though not expressly stated in the lease agreements over the years, renewal was always at the tenant's option.
- 17. The one (1) year lease term was never intended as mechanism to dispossess the tenants of their occupancy, but rather was used to allow the Camp to adjust the rent from time to time. Historically, rent was adjusted sporadically (not annually), usually in small increments averaging 10-15 dollars per month, as the Camp's operational costs increased to maintain the residential lease area. From 1966 through 2004, the Camp increased the rent from \$10.50 to \$150.00 per month.
- 18. Initially, tenants used their lots as a vacation property primarily during the summer months, using tents, travel trailers, campers and motor homes.

19. Snohomish County began raising various use concerns, but, following multiple administrative hearings, the County was eventually persuaded to allow the continued use of travel trailers, campers, and motor homes on the residential lots.

- 20. However, the Camp adopted a development policy which discouraged the use of any kind of mobile housing. To prevent such use, the Camp began telling the tenants that Snohomish County would not allow travel trailers, campers, motor homes, or other forms of mobile housing at the Camp.
- 21. Further, the Camp began insisting that the tenants only construct more permanent, concrete foundation, stick-built housing, and the Camp developed building standards which were incorporated into the leases for such housing.
- 22. In addition to building standards, the Camp leased residential lots only to "Qualified Tenants." These requirements were in furtherance of the Camp's stated purpose to provide low-cost, year-round residency in the residential lot area to both active and retired ministers, missionaries, and lay people who met the qualifications for tenancy.
- 23. In reliance upon the their option to renew, and in compliance with the Camp's policy of encouraging year around residency, many of the tenants constructed stick-built, concrete foundation homes, and began living in their homes on a year around, permanent basis. Presently, the Residents are using their lots as follows:
- 24. **West.** Two story, conventional, stick built home on a full concrete foundation with an attached three car car-port. West also maintains a detached two story storage shed. The Camp assisted West to obtain all building permits. Construction on the lot was completed in 1984. This is the West family's primary, year round residence.
- 25. **Pearson.** One and a half story, conventional, stick build home on a full concrete foundation and an attached car port. The home was constructed in 1999. Pearson

22 23

24

25

26

also maintains a storage shed on their lot. This is the Pearson family's primary, year round residence.

- 26. Foster. Two story, conventional, stick built home on a full concrete foundation. The home features a full deck with an attached office space. The home was constructed in the 1970's. In addition, Foster maintains two detached storage buildings on their lot. This is the Foster family's primary, year round residence.
- 27. Thackwell. Two story, conventional, stick built home on a pier block foundation. Thackwell also maintains a detached two story storage shed and car port. The home was constructed in the late 1960's. This is the Thackwell family's primary, year round residence.
- 28. Jorgenson. Two story, conventional, stick built home on a full concrete foundation. The Camp assisted Jorgenson in obtaining all necessary building permits and construction was completed in June 1986. Jorgenson also maintains a detached, two story storage shed. This is the Jorgenson family's primary, year round residence.
- 29. Mustered. Manufactured modular home and a detached, two story storage shed. This is the Mustered family's primary, year round residence.
- 30. Huson. Two story conventional stick built home on full concrete foundation, with detached two story storage shed. Construction date completed August of 1986. Camp assisted in obtaining all necessary budding permits. This home is the primary year round residence for the Huson family.
- 31. Two story, conventional, stick built home on a full concrete foundation, with a detached car port and a two story storage shed. The Troxell home was constructed in 1971 and the Camp assisted in obtaining all necessary building permits. This is a secondary residence for the Troxell family.

32. **Kroeze.** Two story, conventional, stick build home on a full concrete foundation, with a basement and attached storage shed. The home was constructed in the mid-1970s and the Camp assisted in obtaining all necessary building permits. This is Kroeze's primary, year-round residence.

- 33. **Roalson.** Two story, conventional, stick build home on a full concrete foundation, with an attached storage shed. The home was constructed in the mid-1970s and the Camp assisted in obtaining all necessary building permits. This is the Roalson family's primary, year round residence.
- 34. All homes constructed by the Residents meet the building standards set in place by the Camp. Additionally, at the time the homes were constructed, all Residents were considered "Qualified Tenants."

#### DRAMATIC CHANGES AT THE CAMP

- 35. Since leasing their lots, the Residents continued to renew their respective leases with the Camp annually, at their option, until 2003 (with the exception of the Pearsons who were allowed and chose to renew until 2005<sup>1</sup>).
- 36. In December of 2002 the Camp offered a lease proposal that significantly departed from the parties' historical relationship. Such changes represented direct threats to the Residents' ability to remain in their homes. For example,
  - a. The Camp proposed a more narrow definition of "Qualified Tenants" which would include only those who were credentialed ministers 55 years of age or older. The Camp has since withdrawn this demand in its more recent proposals.
  - b. The Camp proposed a two-tiered lease rate system requiring all noncredentialed minister tenants to accept lease increases rising from \$110/month to

\$350/month over the course of the next three years, while credential minister rates would only slightly increase during the same period. The Camp claimed that this distinction was driven by IRS regulations which, if not followed, may jeopardize the Camp's tax exempt status. The Camp withdrew this demand when it discovered that its concerns were misplaced. c. The Camp proposed that tenant disputes be resolved by the use of binding arbitration; while the Camp reserved the right to file a court action.

- d. The Camp proposed that the leases could be terminated and the tenants would have to agree to vacate their homes within 30 days if, for any reason,
- the Camp lost its tax-exempt status.

These proposed changes negatively impacted the Residents. First, such changes were imposed upon the Residents without their approval or consent. Second, by narrowing the definition of a "Qualified Tenant" to "credentialed ministers, 55 years or older" the Camp severely limited the marketability and value of the Residents' homes.

- 37. The Residents (with the exception of Pearson) objected to the Camp's proposed changes in 2003 and entered into negotiations with the Camp to resolve their concerns. On or about July of 2005, the Camp and the Residents reached an agreement on the new lease terms and a new lease was prepared, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A (the "Agreed Lease"). Importantly, the agreement reached allowed the following: a) the tenant's option to renew; and b) a continuation of original definition of "Qualified Tenant."
- 38. Unbeknownst to the Residents, with the exception of the Pearsons (because Mr. Pearson was then a member of the Camp's previous board of directors), the Camp was

COMPLAINT

24

25

26

(425) 776-4100 · (425) 776-1700 fax

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pearsons did not object to the Camp's proposed lease terms and choose to renew their lease under these terms in 2003 and 2004. However, in 2005, after the Church took over management of the board and refused to

maintaining concurrent negotiations with Cedar Park Assembly of God of Bothell (the "Church") for the Church to assume complete control of the Camp, its operations, and properties. In the fall of 2005, the Church did assume complete control of the Camp, and replaced each Camp board members with persons affiliated or related to the Church.

- 39. Given the negotiations with the Church, the Camp approved, but did not execute the Agreed Lease with the Residents. Instead, the Camp sought and obtained assurances from the Church that the Agreed Lease would be honored, subject only to a few "grammatical changes."
- 40. On or about September of 2005, the Church proposed another new lease (the "Church Lease") to the Residents which substantially modified the Agreed Lease terms. Importantly, the Church Lease proposed a definite lease term of one (1) year only; and eliminated the Residents' option to renew, leaving the Residents with no option but to incur the expense of moving their homes and other improvements, or abandoning them in the event the leases were not renewed.
- 41. It is next to impossible for the Residents to move their homes because the homes were not designed or constructed to be moved. Thus, moving them would substantially impair their value. However, even if the homes could be moved, the bridge which serves as the only ingress ad egress to the residential area would not support such loads, and substantial logging and brush clearing would be required to allow for such passage.
- 42. The Church Lease also alters the historical purpose of the arrangement by allowing for unfettered lease rate adjustments. This concern is not merely academic—since the Church proposes to increase rents by eighty-three percent (83%) over a three (3) year term. The Church's proposal is particularly objectionable because most of the Residents

honor the New Lease, Pearsons joined the other Residents in their objections to the Church Lease.

are retired seniors, living on low fixed incomes, and because such increases will likely force the Residents out of their homes.

43. And finally, the Church's refusal to honor the terms of the New Lease previously agreed upon has converted the Residents into month to month tenants and forced them to remain under the constant threat of short notice termination/eviction. Such status has also stripped them of the security of knowing their right to remain in possession was at their option. The Church has effectively swapped the Residents' option to renew for its option to terminate by forcing the Residents into month-to-month tenancies. Such status has completely stripped the Residents of all reasonable value of their homes, leaving the Camp in the position of being unjustly enriched because it is likely the only buyer for the Residents' homes.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION – DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

- 44. The Residents reallege the allegations in the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 45. The Residents have not executed a new lease with the Camp or the Church since 2002, (except for the Pearsons who have not executed a new lease since 2005). The Residents are considered by the Church to be month-to-month tenants.
- 46. The Camp encouraged the Residents to invest in and/or make significant improvements to their respective leased lots; assisted them in obtaining building permits from the county to construct homes, solicited their volunteer labor in developing and building many camp owned improvements, and benefited from the resident's financial contributions and volunteer labor to the camp ministry for 30 plus years. As month-to-month tenants, the Residents suffer the possibility that their leases will be terminated on as little as twenty (20) days notice, in which case, the Residents stand to lose their homes and investments.

47. The terms of the Residents' continued tenancy on their respective lots are in considerable dispute and have been rendered uncertain to the extent the Church has disregarded the lease agreement the Residents reached with the previous Camp board, and to the extent the Church refuses to acknowledge the original purpose and historical terms of the parties' relationship over the past 30 plus years, including the following terms:

- a. Since the residential lot lease area was developed in 1966, the Camp established a tradition of lease renewal at the option of the tenant. Now, the Church refuses to acknowledge this lease term.
- b. Until recently, the Camp maintained its mission to provide affordable, permanent residence to active and retired ministers, missionaries and lay people. Until 2003, the Camp limited average rental increases to 10-15 dollars per increase. Now, the Church demands unprecedented increases to the Residents' rental obligation.
- 48. These fixed, low income Residents invested in the development of their permanent residences in reliance upon the original purpose and historical terms of the parties' agreement, which are now being substantially altered to the Residents' detriment by the Church's current lease proposal which does not incorporate the terms of the parties' historical relationship.
- 49. Despite changes in management, the Residents trusted the Church's assurance to continue the lease terms consistent with the historical terms and commitments. The effect of the Church's current lease proposal is to severely diminish the value and marketability of the resident's homes.
- 50. Pursuant to RCW 7.24, the Residents request the Court declare their rights and obligations concerning the relationship between the Residents and the Church,

24

25

including renewal option, lease term, rental adjustment provisions, and resident qualification clarification.

#### RELIEF REQUESTED

WHEREFORE, the Residents pray for the following relief:

- a. A decree defining the following terms of the lease between the Residents and the Church:
  - i. The lease shall automatically renew for another one (1) year term, unless the tenant provides notice of renewal to the Camp Board (Church) not less than thirty (30) days prior to termination of the lease term.
  - ii. The lease term shall be for one year.
  - iii. The Church may adjust the rent under the lease for the next lease term (if the lease is not terminated by the tenant) upon sixty (60) days notice prior to automatic renewal of the lease term; provided, however, such rental increase shall not exceed the Consumer Cost of Living Index;
  - iv. The Tenants shall be Assemblies of God church members, or other persons who adhere to the CSC Constitution & By-Laws, Statement of Faith and Mission Statement.
- b. A decree confirming any other relevant and necessary terms of the lease between the Residents and the Church based on the historical terms of the Camp lease agreements.

1	c. Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.
2	
3	DATED this day of July 2006.
4	
5	BERESFORD BOOTH PLLC
6	
7	Matthew J. Cruz, WSBA 22345
8	Dimitra S. Hloptsidis, WSBA 34634
9	Attorneys for the Plaintiff
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

COMPLAINT

2. I have reviewed the allegations of the foregoing Complaint, and they are true and

2

1

\_

3

**4 5** 

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

I, LORETTA WEST, declare:

I, JAMES WEST, declare:

1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 36, 2006, at Lone Stevent Washington.

Executed on July 26, 2006, at Lake Secens Washington.

DRITTA WEST

ames West

COMPLAINT

BERESFORD BOOTH PLLC

145 THIRD AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 200 EDMONDS, WASHINGTON 98020 (425) 776-4100 · (425) 776-1700 fax

2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my

authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they

I, JAMES FOSTER, declare:

JAMES TOSTER

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 25, 2006, at LAKE Stevens, Washington.

are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I, BETTY FOSTER, declare:

1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 25, 2006, at Lake Srevens, Washington.

BETTY FOSTER

**COMPLAINT** 

BERESFORD BOOTH PLLC

145 THIRD AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 200
EDMONDS, WASHINGTON 98020
(425) 776-4100 · (425) 776-1700 fax

2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they

are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 25, 2006, at LIKESTEVENS, Washington.

Z

3 1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

I, PAT TROXELL, declare:

1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

I, PAUL TROXELL, declare:

- 2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.
- I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 25, 2006, at 14 ke Stevens, Washington.

PAT TROXELL

COMPLAINT

BERESFORD BOOTH PLLC
145 THIRD AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 200
EDMONDS. WASHINGTON 98020

EDMONDS, WASHINGTON 98020 (425) 776-4100 · (425) 776-1700 fax

# 1 VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT 2 I, HARVEY HUSON, declare: 1. I am a plaintiff in this matter. 3 2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my 4 authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. 5 I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. 6 7 Executed on July 25, 2006, at Sake Stevens, Washington. 8 9 10 11 I, MARY ELLEN HUSON, declare: 12 1. I am a plaintiff in this matter. 13 2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they 14 are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. 15 I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. 16 Executed on July 25, 2006, at Jale Skeens, Washington. 17 18 19 20

COMPLAINT

21

22

23

24

25

2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my

authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

Executed on July 26, 2006, at (cke Stevens Washington.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

Signed by fim West Granted telephonic

JOHN MUSTERED Pet mission

I, JANET MUSTERED, declare:

I, JOHN MUSTERED, declare: 1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 26, 2006, at Leke Stevens, Washington.

Signed by Sim West Granted Telephonic permissions from The mustered is

COMPLAINT

BERESFORD BOOTH PLLC

145 THIRD AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 200 EDMONDS, WASHINGTON 98020 (425) 776-4100 · (425) 776-1700 fax

2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my

authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they

are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 26, 2006, at LAKE STEVENS, Washington.

2

1

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

I, IRENE JORGENSON, declare:

I, NEAL JORGENSON, declare: 1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 26, 2006, at Lake Stevens, Washington.

Irene Jargenson
IRENE JORGENSON

COMPLAINT

EDMONDS, WASHINGTON 98020 (425) 776-4100 · (425) 776-1700 fax

### 1 VERIFICATION OF COMPLAINT 2 I, DAVID PEARSON, declare: 1. I am a plaintiff in this matter. 3 4

2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 26, 2006, at Keke Stevens Washington.

11

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- I, GLENICE PEARSON, declare:
- 1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 26, 2006, at Loke Stevens, Washington.

Slenice Pearson

24

23

25

2 I, RANDY ROALSON, declare:

1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 25th, 2006, at Lake Stevens, Washington.

RANDY KØALSON

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

3

5

6

7

8

I, DARLA ROALSON, declare:

1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 25, 2006, at \_\_\_\_\_ Like Stully, Washington.

DARLA ROALSON

23

24

25

2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my

authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they

are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

Executed on July 26, 2006, at Take

I, LORAINE COHRS THACKWELL, declare:

I, CHARLES THACKWELL, declare:

1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

1. I am a plaintiff in this matter.

2. The foregoing Complaint was duly prepared under my direction and with my authorization of the Plaintiff. I have reviewed the allegations of the Complaint, and they are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct.

Executed on July 26, 2006, at Lee Si

ORAINE COHRS THACK

COMPLAINT

**COMPLAINT** 

145 THIRD AVENUE SOUTH, SUITE 200 EDMONDS, WASHINGTON 98020 (425) 776-4100 · (425) 776-1700 fax